Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/696,570	ASAKO, KOUJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Thomas A. Morrison</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. John Mion (Reg. No. 18,879).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 3, 4 and 7	. ·	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Mion (applicant's representative) to get permission to correct minor grammatical errrors in claims 3 and 7, and to provide proper antecedent basis in claim 4, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation Mr. Mion approved such changes to the claims.